

Lecture 2.2 The Schur orthogonality relations

Given a linear representation ρ of a finite group G in a vector space V over a field k , the character χ_ρ of ρ is

$$G \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{Aut}_k(V) \stackrel{\cong}{\approx} \text{GL}_n(k) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} k$$

where $\text{Tr}(M) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$, with $M = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$.

From linear algebra we know that

$$\text{Tr}(\rho_g) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i,$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of ρ_g with multiplicities.

Hence χ_g does not depend on the choice of basis \mathcal{B} and it is thus well-defined. From now on we shall assume that $k = \mathbb{C}$.

Prop'n 1 Notation as above

(i) $\chi(1) = n$

(ii) $\chi(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi(g)}$, $\forall g \in G$

(iii) $\chi(hgh^{-1}) = \chi(g)$, $\forall g, h \in G$.

Proof

(i) Note that $\rho_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, so $\chi(1) = n$.

(ii) As $g^n = 1$, for some $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$, then $\rho_g^n = I$ and thus

$$\lambda_i^n = 1$$

for each eigenvalue λ_i of ρ_g . Thus each λ_i is a root of unity, so

$$\overline{\chi(g)} = \overline{\text{Tr}(\rho_g)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\lambda_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{-1} = \text{Tr}(\rho_g^{-1}) = \chi(g^{-1}).$$

(iii) Note $\chi(hgh^{-1}) = \chi(g) \iff \chi(uv) = \chi(vu)$;

just put $u := hg$ and $v := h^{-1}$. But from linear algebra

we know that

$$\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA),$$

for all $A, B \in M_n(k)$ \square

Remark Property (iii) says that χ_g is a class function.

We'll show that every class function is a sum of characters.

Propn 2 If $\rho^{(i)} : G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_i)$ are reps, $i = 1, 2$, then

$$(i) \quad \chi_{\rho^{(1)} \oplus \rho^{(2)}} = \chi_{\rho^{(1)}} + \chi_{\rho^{(2)}}$$

$$(ii) \quad \chi_{\rho^{(1)} \otimes \rho^{(2)}} = \chi_{\rho^{(1)}} \cdot \chi_{\rho^{(2)}}$$

Proof

(i): ✓

(ii): We have $\chi_1(g) = \sum_{i_1} r_{i_1 i_1}(g)$ & $\chi_2(g) = \sum_{i_2} r_{i_2 i_2}(g)$,

$$\text{so } \chi_{\rho^{(1)} \otimes \rho^{(2)}}(g) = \sum_{i_1, i_2} r_{i_1 i_1}(g) \cdot r_{i_2 i_2}(g) = (\chi_{\rho^{(1)}} \cdot \chi_{\rho^{(2)}})(g) \quad \square$$

Prop'n 3 (Schur lemma) let $\rho^{(i)}: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_i)$ be irred'l reps,
 $i = 1, 2$, and let

$$V_1 \xrightarrow{f} V_2$$

be a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping of \mathfrak{h} -sets. Then

(1) if $\rho^{(1)}$ and $\rho^{(2)}$ are not isomorphic, then $f = 0$;

(2) if $\rho^{(1)} = \rho^{(2)}$ then f is just multiplication by a scalar.

Proof

(1): Suppose $f \neq 0$. Let $W_1 := \ker(f)$ and note that

$\forall x \in W_1 : f(g \cdot x) = g \cdot f(x) = 0$, so W_1 is
subrep'n of V_1 . But V_1 is irred'l, so either

$$W_1 = V_1 \quad \text{or} \quad W_1 = 0.$$

The first possibility contradicts $f \neq 0$, so $W_1 = 0$, i.e. f
is injective. Similarly we see that $\text{Im}(f) = W_2$, so
we have shown that f is an isomorphism.

(2): Suppose that $f^{(1)} = f^{(2)}$. Let λ be an eigenvalue of f (which must exist as \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed).

Let $f' := f - \lambda \cdot 1_V$, $V := V_1 = V_2$. Note that

$$W := \ker(f') \neq \{0\},$$

which is a subrep'n of V (as $\forall g \in \mathfrak{h}, v \in V: f'(g \cdot v) = g \cdot f'(v)$). But V is irreducible, so

we have $W = V$, i.e. $f' = 0$. Thus $f = \lambda 1_V$ \square

For complex-valued functions $G \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{matrix} \mathbb{C}$ we put

$$(\phi | \psi) := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) \overline{\psi(g)},$$

which is clearly a scalar product. From Schur's lemma we get the following.

Thm If $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ is irreducible then

$$(\chi_{\rho} | \chi_{\rho}) = 1,$$

if $\rho^{(i)} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_i)$ are irred'l and $\rho^{(1)} \neq \rho^{(2)}$, then

$$(\chi_{\rho^{(1)}} | \chi_{\rho^{(2)}}) = 0$$

Thm let $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_k$ be a decomposition of \mathfrak{g} into
irred'l subreps V_1, \dots, V_k and pick any irred'l rep'

$$\rho' : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$$

Then the ~~χ~~ of $V_i \cong W$ is $(\chi_{\rho} | \chi_{\rho'})$.